

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,986	09/23/2003	Lee Kong Weng	70030735-1	4231	
	7590 01/22/2007 INOLOGIES, LTD.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1920	)		PAYNE, SHARON E		
DENVER, CO 80201-1920			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	-	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/669,98	6	WENG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sharon E.	Payne	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months af- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICAT Int, however, may a reply b Expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cation to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					,			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 11 <u>December 20</u>	006.					
2a)□		b) This action is no						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)[	objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmer	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	I O-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \$\(\frac{\partial \text{L}}{\text{L}}\) \(\frac{\text{L}}{\text{L}}\) \(\								

Application/Control Number: 10/669,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The optically transparent material is already in claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-9, 11-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishinaga (U.S. Patent 6,355,946) in view of and Kyocera (JP 2002232017 A).

Regarding claim 1, Ishinaga discloses a standalone light emitting diode package (abstract) comprising a housing comprising sidewalls (Fig. 1) and a substrate (reference number 1), the sidewalls and the substrate defining a cavity having a bottom (Fig. 1, see bottom portion of dotted lines), the substrate

Art Unit: 2875

being located at the bottom of the cavity (Fig. 1, reference number 1), portions of the substrate engaging or being adjacent to the sidewalls (Fig. 2, see elliptical dotted line in the middle), the substrate being formed of ceramic (column 3, lines 50-55), at least one light-reflective metallic coating disposed over at least portions of the substrate (column 3, lines 65-68), a light emitting diode mounted on or in the substrate (abstract, Fig. 1), and optically transparent material disposed in the cavity and covering the light emitting diode (column 4, lines 25-30), wherein the ceramic composition of the substrate and the composition of the sidewalls and the light-reflective coating cooperate to minimize light leakage through or into the housing when the light emitting diode is energized (column 4, lines 55-65), the metallic coating reflects light incident thereon in a predetermined direction (column 3, lines 65-68, and Fig. 1), and the optically transparent material protects the light emitting diode (column 4, lines 25-30). Ishinaga does not disclose substantially vertical ceramic sidewalls or a metallic coating disposed over at least portions of the sidewalls.

Kyocera discloses substantially vertical sidewalls (Fig. 4, reference number 33), the substantially vertical sidewalls being formed of ceramic (English abstract), and at least one light-reflective metallic coating disposed over at least portions of the sidewalls (Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Kyocera in the apparatus of Application/Control Number: 10/669,986

Art Unit: 2875

Ishinaga to make the apparatus produce more light. See the English abstract of Kyocera.

Concerning claim 2, this claim fails to narrow claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons disclosed in the analysis of claim 1.

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Ishinaga discloses the cavity being substantially white in color (column 4, lines 30-35).

Concerning claim 5 and 11, Ishinaga discloses the metallic coating being comprising gold (column 3, lines 65-68, Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 6 and 12, Ishinaga discloses the metallic coating being formed by plating (column 3, lines 65-68).

Regarding claim 8, Ishinaga discloses a housing having sidewalls (Fig. 1) and a substrate (reference number 1), the sidewalls and the substrate defining a cavity having a bottom (Fig. 3, lower middle), the substrate being located at the bottom of the cavity (Fig. 1), portions of the substrate engaging or being adjacent to the sidewalls (Fig. 1), the substrate being formed of ceramic (column 3, lines 50-55), at least one light-reflective metallic coating being disposed over at least portions of the substrate (column 3, lines 65-68, Fig. 1), a light emitting diode being mounted on or in the substrate (abstract, Fig. 1, reference number 3A), an optically transparent material being disposed in the cavity and covering the light emitting diode (column 4, lines 25-30), the ceramic composition of the substrate and the composition of the sidewalls and the light-reflective coating cooperating to minimize light leakage through or into

Art Unit: 2875

the housing when the light emitting diode is energized (column 4, lines 55-65), the metallic coating reflecting light incident thereon in a predetermined direction (column 3, lines 65-68, and Fig. 1), and the optically transparent material protecting the light emitting diode (column 4, lines 25-30), the method comprising providing the housing (Fig. 1), coating at least portions of the substrate with a light-reflective metallic coating (column 3, lines 65-68), mounting the light emitting diode on or in the substrate (Fig. 1, abstract) and depositing the optically transparent material in the cavity (column 4, lines 25-30). Ishinaga does not disclose substantially vertical sidewalls with a metallic coating or the step of coating the sidewalls with the at least one light-reflective metallic coating.

Kyocera discloses substantially vertical sidewalls (Fig. 4, reference number 33), the substantially vertical sidewalls being formed of ceramic (English abstract), at least one light-reflective metallic coating disposed over at least portions of the sidewalls (Fig. 4), and the step of coating the at least portions of the sidewalls with the at least one light-reflective metallic coating (Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Kyocera in the apparatus of Ishinaga to make the apparatus produce more light. See the English abstract of Kyocera.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,986

Art Unit: 2875

Concerning claim 14, Ishinaga discloses the step of depositing epoxy as the optically transparent material in the cavity (column 4, lines 25-30).

4. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishinaga in view of Kyocera as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Zou et al. (U.S. Patent 6,186,649).

Concerning claims 4 and 10, Ishinaga and Kyocera do not disclose using silver as a reflective coating. Zou et al. discloses the metallic coating comprising silver (column 6, lines 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the silver coating of Zou et al. in place of the reflective coating of Kyocera to achieve "high output irradiance[.]" See column 1, lines 60-65, of Zou et al.

5. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishinaga in view of Kyocera as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Huang (U.S. Patent 6,715,901).

Regarding claims 7 and 13, Ishinaga and Kyocera do not disclose the cavity being formed to contain a plurality of LEDs. Huang discloses the ceramic cavity being formed to contain a plurality of LEDs (column 4, lines 62-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Huang in the apparatus of Ishinaga and Kyocera to increase light output per apparatus.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2379. The examiner can normally be reached on regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,986

Art Unit: 2875

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sep

Sharon Payne

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Page 8